

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/553,277	07/06/2006	Jean-Luc Dufour	030104PCTUS	6625	
7590 08/14/2007 Bernard G Pike KIRKPETRICK & LOCKHART NICHOLSON GRAHAM			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			FRIDIE JR,	FRIDIE JR, WILLMON	
-	Henry W Oliver Building 535 Smithfield Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Pittsburgh, PA 15222-2312			3722		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/14/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		H				
<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/553,277	DUFOUR ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Willmon Fridie	3722			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, seply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status						
1)[🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	av 2007				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 32-63 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 32-63 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) 🔲 1	0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting the oath or declaration is objected to by the Extended to be the Extended to be a second to be a secon					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
<b>AAA</b> -s <b>t</b> -	63					
Attachment 1)	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [] laka 0	(DTO 442)			
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔀 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 3/40-7	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 3722

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 32-40, 42 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Satran et al. (6164878).

Satran et al. discloses a cutting tool holder, comprising at least one insert pocket (52) in the tool holder, and at least one antirotation stop corresponding to at least one said insert pocket, wherein the antirotation stop comprises substantially planar surfaces (66,68, 70); wherein the pocket further comprises a bottom surface and three substantially planar surfaces that are substantially perpendicular to the bottom surface. The antirotation stop is integral to the bottom surface and a side surface of the insert pocket, and each of the insert pockets comprises a side wall for engaging an insert (10). Further the antirotation stop indexes the cutting insert and protrudes from a side wall of the pocket. With respect to claims 32 and 33, the Satran et al reference inherently discloses the method.

Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/553,277

Art Unit: 3722

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 48-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satran et al ('878).

With respect to claims 48, 58, 62 and 63, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the different portions of the recess of whatever form or shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. In re Dailey et aL, 149 USPQ 47. It appears that there would be no new or unexpected result from such a modification.

With respect to claim 49, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to used the claimed material since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

In regard to claims 59 and 60, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to locate the antirotation stop in the claimed Application/Control Number: 10/553,277

Art Unit: 3722

location since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70. It appears that there would be no new or unexpected result from such a modification.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/16/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., details of ther spherical portion of the insert) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show an antirotation stop that protrudes from a side wall of the insert pocket; the examiner directs applicant's attention to figure 4 of Satran et al. ('878) wherein the antirotation stop comprises substantially planar surfaces (66,68, 70); wherein the pocket further comprises a bottom surface and three substantially planar surfaces that are substantially perpendicular to the bottom surface. The antirotation stop is integral to the bottom surface and a side surface of the insert pocket, and each of the insert pockets comprises a side wall for engaging an insert (10).

With respect to applicant's argument concerning tangential milling, what defines the top pocket? It is unclear as to how this is accomplished.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willmon Fridie whose telephone number is 571 272 4476. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MONICA CARTER can be reached on 571 272 4475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

wf

WILLMON FRIDIE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER